



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	T	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/587,448	12/01/95	HO KEUNG		

22M2/0717

LAUER, F EXAMINER

TSE HO KEUNG  
NORTH POINT POST OFFICE  
PO BOX 54670  
KONG  
HONG KONG

AIR MAIL

APT. UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
201	7

07/17/97

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. <b>08/587,448</b>	Applicant(s) <b>Tse Ho Keung</b>
	Examiner <i>703 306 4160</i> <i>Pinchus M. Laufer</i>	Group Art Unit <b>2202</b>

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Pinchus M. Laufer (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Tse Ho Kung (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jul 15, 1997

Type:  Telephonic  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No. If yes, brief description:

\_\_\_\_\_

Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: Proposed amended claim 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed: (1) the guidelines for Computer Related Inventions; (2) intended use language; (3) use of "such as" in claims; and (4) new matter.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Recommended that applicant find an agent to help prosecute the application.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2.  Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

*Thomas H. Tarcza*  
**THOMAS H. TARCZA**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**GROUP 2200**

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.